

MINUTES

CALL MEETING TO ORDER

The Bond Oversight Committee Chair Pat Eastman called the August 22, 2017, Budget Committee Meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Present were:

Pat Eastman
Dale DeBolt
Bond Oversight Committee Chair
Bond Oversight Committee Vice-Chair
Bond Oversight Committee Member

Scott Pierson Bond Oversight Committee Member Via Teleconference

Heath Kasper Bond Oversight Committee Member

Jim Golden Superintendent

Tonja Everest Assistant Superintendent Russell Allen Director of Business

David McKay Bond Program Director HMK Co.

Committee Members Stacy Koos and Adrianna Villegas were not in attendance at the meeting. A list of others present at the meeting is attached to the original minutes.

Committee Chair Pat Eastman began by asking Marcia Latta, the District's Communications liaison, to provide the Committee with information regarding her plans in providing information regarding the Bond's progress to the public. Ms. Latta stated she planned to continue providing content on the District's website and social media including (Facebook and Twitter) and the local media to provide stories on the progress and plans as time moves forward. In addition, she shared that she was planning to do a substantial section in the upcoming fall newsletter that goes out to Community Members.

Ms. Latta stated that she wants to make sure that all decisions are announced as the district moves forward with the bond. She also stated that signs would be designed that would be placed at the sites thanking voters for their support and announcing the elevation for the projects, what the planned completion date is, and any other pertinent details. Mr. Eastman commented that the Political Action Committee has kept their Facebook page current so they will share everything that is put on Facebook with their followers. Mr. Boehme asked if the District would be able to leverage the extra money from the State and bond premium with the media. He stated that it would be a big piece to tell the community. Ms. Latta stated that she believes that it should be an initial story to feature in our newsletter and talk to Jennifer Moody about putting in the paper as well as making it splashy on the web site. Mr. Debolt asked if Ms. Latta had any plans to meet with civic groups and have presentations as an opportunity for give and take question and answers. Ms. Latta responded that she felt it was a great idea and although she didn't have that level of planning done yet, but she believes it would make a lot of sense and would be happy to work on that. Mr. Aguinaga asked if there were any virtual 360 tours planned as that is very popular right now. Ms. Latta responded that the District had not planned anything like that yet but thought it was a good idea and she would talk with Mr. McKay to see what they could bring.

Mr. Eastman thanked Ms. Latta for being available and then stated that Superintendent Jim Golden had something to discuss with the Committee. Mr. Golden shared that there were a couple of new Board Members and thanked Board

August 22, 2017

Page 2

Member Kim Butzner for being in the audience for this meeting. He then reminded everyone that the Bond Oversight Committee was seated at the pleasure of the Board and are tasked with doing two things; 1) Are we doing what we said we would do and 2) Are we spending the funds wisely and in a manner consistent with our Bond promises which were warm, safe, and dry; vocation and technical education and career technical education; upgrade to West; and the new elementary school.

Mr. Eastman welcomed Mr. Eric Aguinaga to the Committee. He stated that Mr. Aguinaga is well rounded with a lot of information to contribute and was glad that the Board had appointed him to the Committee. Mr. Eastman also reported that another new member to the committee was Adrianna Villegas but she was not present at the meeting.

BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OPERATIONAL TASKS

Mr. Eastman stated that he assumed that everyone had an opportunity to review the minutes that were sent
out. He asked if there were any questions from the last meeting. There were none. He then asked for a
motion to adopt the minutes. Committee Member Dawn Davis made a motion to accept the minutes as
presented. Committee Member Dale DeBolt seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY.

BOND PROJECTS STATUS REPORT

Director of Business Russ Allen began by stating that he was going to provide some general information first and then turn it over to Mr. McKay for details. He referred to documents provided at each Committee Member's place, which were a slightly different version of the project priorities that were sent out in the packet. He stated that the items that were slightly changed were 9, 10, and 11. He reminded the Committee, that after discussions during the last meeting, Staff was tasked to go back, taking the different opinions of the Committee Members and put the information into a single document. He shared that Mr. David McKay had been working hard with the architects and cost estimators to get as accurate information as possible up to this point in time. He shared that some of the projects were flushed out, some numbers were updated; and the biggest change was Oak Grove, not in what the project needed, but that the updated and more reliable costs came in higher.

Mr. Allen shared that he believes that the resulting document showing 3 columns has the priorities set as described by the Committee as a general group. He reminded them that there was only so much bond premium and that there is a recommended \$6 million being held back for contingencies. He stated that when looking at the projects, some of them lent to being bid alternates and some had to be included in the current project scope. He referred to items 3 and 6 where item 3 is something that could be held back to see where the contingency came in where item 6 has to be "baked" into the project. Mr. Allen commented that his hope is that the contingency won't be needed, that there are adequate resources allocated to each project, and that the contingency will then take care of providing for all of the bid alternates. He stated that it all has to do with timing. He shared that when the list gets down to items 13 and 14, they were listed as Priority 2 projects because they didn't seem to have the same amount of support as the other projects and they really fell out of the \$28 million available budget and can easily be set aside for future consideration.

Before taking questions, Mr. Eastman stated that limited questions would be taken from the audience and echoed what Mr. Golden stated earlier, that this was not the venue to appeal or make a lot of changes, but to be a hearing platform rather than a decision making platform. He asked the audience to keep their questions to as little passion as possible to keep the meeting moving. Mr. Boehme commented that he was still concerned about the outdoor canopy at Timber Ridge. He stated that he had a hard time believing that it would be the best use for \$300,000 when there are so many other needs. Mr. Allen stated that staff could strike it. As a Priority 2 it is really set it aside and the Board can look at it next year to see if it would fit better then. He stated that it could be taken off and 13 priorities could be taken to the Board if that was the Committee's wish. Mr. Boehme stated that was his opinion. There were some who agreed and others disagreed. Mr. DeBolt commented that he did not think it was a good use of \$300,000. He stated that he felt it wasn't a space that was going to be used on a regular basis and the funds could go to something else, some projects at South Albany that could benefit from it. There was no consensus, however.

August 22, 2017

Page 3

Mr. Eastman asked if Mr. Pierson had any comments at this point. Mr. Pierson stated that he had the copy from yesterday, and asked why item 6 was a Priority 1 and item 7 was a bid alternate when that was almost opposite of what was talked about before. Mr. Eastman asked if he had received a document that was sent to him earlier in the afternoon. Mr. Pierson stated he did not. Mr. Allen stated that it didn't impact items 6 and 7 but it did impact items 9, 10 and 11. Mr. Pierson stated that the focus on everything was the CTE and CTE classrooms along with the storage that went with it, and he wanted to make sure that the Community and the perception still sees that it is the most important thing we do. He added that addressing the original item 6 with the 5,000 square foot lobby, classrooms, and making that more useable and such, but the focus on South is the CTE Classrooms and CTE Project which he feels is the biggest bang for the buck and community perception. Mr. Allen stated that if it was in the interest of the Committee, items 6 and 7 could be switched in order. He stated that he understood what Mr. Pierson said regarding the bid-alternate and the fact that 7 is a bid-alternate is not saying that it is not important, but it lends itself as a bid-alternate where item 6 cannot be a bid alternate because a decision has to be made at the time of design.

Mr. Allen went on to state that the hope and expectation is that we will be able to fund 7 but in order to make sure that we had a decision for the Middle Schools, bid alternates had to be identified. He stated that that CTE and Classroom addition is really important at South and we really hope that it is going to happen. Mr. Pierson stated that from the project point of view that you are able to separate and that they are different than we are already there and have to make the decision up front, but being that it is so far down the list and it is so close to that total of the \$6 million contingency, if that \$6 million gets eaten up then those CTE classrooms would fall on the chopping block and he believes it would be a problem. Mr. Allen shared stated that as a math perspective there is approximately \$4.1 million left over in the Priority 1 column, but understands his concern. He stated that he wasn't sure how to address the concern because to make it a Priority 1, makes it so that a decision cannot be made to go on with the Middle School programs. In addition he shared that that item 6 was part of the original scope and item 7 was an add-on. He stated that he hoped and believed the funds would be there to do both; this was a way to identify the items that could be set aside as a bid alternate versus if it is going to happen it has to be decided on now. Mr. Eastman commented that he believed that CTE was well addressed for South in the original allotment of funds and asked if that was being taken into consideration. The response was yes.

Mr. Eastman addressed Mr. Brent Belveal, Principal of South Albany High School, and stated that if he would like to provide his comments, now would be a good time. Mr. Belveal approached the lectern to clarify some information. Mr. Belveal comented that Mr. Allen was correct regarding the information in the space in the Health Science Center is hard to separate out. He stated that the piece that Mr. Pierson was talking about were the shop areas that are undersized in comparison to most other programs. He stated that one third of the space was eaten up by classrooms. He stated that the architect then added the classrooms outside of the shop foot print. Mr. Belveal stated that the thought process behind adding those additional classrooms is to turn those shops into large spaces that can be used for a variety of different programs that we hope to expand outside of welding and metal work into HVAC and other areas as well that is going to take space.

Mr. Eastman then asked Mr. Allen if any of the concerns were spoken to in the original allocation of funds. Mr. Allen responded that he believed what was being said was true. He shared there were some concerns relative to the resulting spaces based off of the original scope. One way to address this is add the additional two classrooms. He stated that it is agreed that it is a priority; however, at this point he wasn't sure about recommending changes to the document, but if it is the will of the Committee, staff could look at moving some things around. He stated again, that it is staff's expectation and belief that at the end of the day there won't be a problem funding it. Mr. DeBolt asked if there was something else that could be done; for example move item 3 to 7 and item 7 to 3 so it falls into the first category of contingency rather than down the line. Mr. Allen responded that if the Committee wanted to move it to item 3, they could do that. He stated that, as important as the projects at South were, staff heard that ensuring that the new elementary school was able to house 600 students was a higher priority. Mr. DeBolt stated that it is what was discussed, but now there are other things that may be a higher priority when talking about CTE and Voc/Tech classes. Mr. Allen stated that items 6, or 7 could be moved, it was a belief of what was heard, but it can be changed if the Committee prefers. Mr. Aguinaga stated he was fine with it, and Ms. Davis stated it should be left. Mr.

August 22, 2017

Page 4

Costain stated he would leave it as well. Ms. Davis stated that the space for the elementary students is going to be in dire need. It was asked if the new school would reach the 600 student level, Mr. Allen responded that it would very soon.

Mr. Boehme asked what the bid alternate was for WAHS. Mr. McKay responded that there has not been time designing what West was going to look like. He stated that they were starting a master planning process to look at what the total rebuild will be and then with that, staff will sit down with principal Susie Orsborn and her team to talk about which pieces we can fit into whatever dollar amount is allotted to West and then try to maximize that dollar as best as possible. It has not been determined as to which spaces were moving yet because it is a much more thoughtful process and unique from the others because the charge is to build as much as possible of Phase II so that the ultimate Phase II is as small as possible. Mr. Allen stated that since West is the one on the list that has not yet been defined because their design process is approximately 60 days behind the rest, the information on the priority list is a short hand way of saying between \$10 million to \$11 million. It is a place holder as a range.

Mr. Kasper commented that he believed that in the original bond allocation plan at South was for three classrooms not two. Mr. McKay responded that after discussions with Brent and the design team it was determined that 2 additional classrooms would be appropriate to serve the current shop space. Mr. Allen added that it meant that the two classrooms were larger than what was originally envisioned at three. Mr. Kasper commented that everything listed under Priority 1 would basically be covered with the bond premium why argue about where they come on the priority list. Mr. Allen responded that if this is approved by the Board, then yes, everything that is in the Priority 1 category will get adopted and "baked" into the original design. Mr. Kasper then stated that he would change item 3 to Priority 1 and item 2 to Bid alternate then move item 5 to Priority 1 and item 6 to bid alternate. Mr. Allen responded that the Priority 1 items are projects that have to be decided on at the design phase and therefore cannot be bid alternates. Mr. McKay explained that the media center couldn't be a bid alternate because the size has to be decided on in the planning phase where adding 4 classrooms at the end of the building is easy to add on at any time and to get pricing for as an alternate. He stated that the problem is that if the classrooms are moved from bid alternate to priority then the Middle School CTE's will fall off the list. It was made clear to staff that the Middle School CTE's were very important, which played a role in how the priorities fell into place.

Mr. Eastman stated that he understands what was told to the voters so he didn't want to see things shuffled around too much but felt that item 7 should be moved up because item 6 is the first time that CET/STEM is mentioned and that he was discouraged to find that it doesn't get mentioned until it gets down to the bottom. Mr. Eastman stated that since there was not consensus he asked if the Committee wanted to take action now or wait until after Mr. McKay's presentation. Mr. Aguinaga stated that the concept at South was what was sold to the South parents and that is what they want for their school. He commented that in regards to item 14. He stated that when you have projects this big, you either have money left over or you have no money left over. He stated that if there is no money left over then don't do it, but if the money is there it would be good to have it remain on the list. He stated that sometimes the distraction of going outside actually caught students' attention and can keep students interested. He felt it was good to have in the long run.

Ms. Davis proposed listening to Mr. McKay's information before moving anything. She then stated that when we proposed the bond it was sold to the public on a certain set of principles and she stated that she stands by those principles and agree with them. She stated that the group was looking at overage funds at this time, and as much as she respects the business community and as a member of the business community there comes a point when they, the business community, doesn't understand the job of educating students. She stated that she thinks a lot of credit needs to be given to the people that are in the classrooms and buildings because they see, and do, and know things that the business community doesn't understand that are also important to children's education. She suggested that rather than the CTE being the be all and end all of every decision made that the Committee remember that we are not really doing this for the business community, but doing this for the kids in our community and it is their needs that should be prioritized. She stated that she would argue that technical ed development was met in the original bond plan. She stated that it doesn't mean not to spend some of these funds on CTE, but asked everyone to possibly take a different perspective moving forward. She emphasized that there is a lot going on in relationship to logistics as Mr. Allen and Mr. McKay have talked about. She stated that the Priority 1 and bid alternate concepts are an area,

August 22, 2017

Page 5

where if not in the construction business, one may not fully understand the significance of those concepts and give some credit to the suggestions from staff. She stated that she was not saying that she disagreed with everyone's opinion, but would like to throw it out for perspective thoughts because she felt that the Committee was starting to get zoomed in too tight and "will miss the boat."

Mr. Eastman thanked Ms. Davis and that her point was well stated and well taken. He stated that his only concern is that the campaign for 2027 bond election started on May 18 and everyone has worked so very hard, and did a really good job to get to today. He stated that he didn't want anyone to forget how we got to here. He also agreed that it would be best to hear from Mr. McKay before discussing anything further.

Mr. McKay stated that Mr. Allen did a really good job of recapping the information and added that he wanted to emphasize that the numbers have changed. He stated that the architects have a cost estimator and the District has a cost estimator to help provide good numbers because of the current market. We want to make sure that we are analyzing the cost well. He stated that there are currently several different instances where cost estimating takes place; one at schematic design, one at design development, and one at construction documents. He stated that they have added a couple of pre-design cost models; one at West, one at Oak Grove; and one at South to be sure we were aligning budget with scope. The numbers were revised based upon the information we received on the pre-design cost model for Oak Grove and South. West was in line with what we had budgeted so we felt good about that. He stated that he believes that the plan that was put together allows the District to proceed with giving the architects the ability to incorporate into their design the various changes/additions per this list. He shared that it also provides the alternates which allows the District to add projects as the project is bid and move forward. He stated that in regards to South, item 6 is critical to fulfill the bond promise for the auxiliary gym, CTE building. As work and progressed and been defined, the scope has increased, so we need those dollars to be able to serve that program well. He then asked for questions.

Mr. Eastman asked Mr. McKay to give a quick percentage of cost increase that has been run into during the reconciliation process. Mr. McKay stated that he had not analyzed it by percentage, but guessing, Oak Grove was about 25-30 percent but part of that was because of the increased scope and looking at the building as a whole and wanting to do some exterior architectural changes so it doesn't look like a building added onto many times. Mr. McKay stated that the increases are directly related to the scope of work as it has been defined through the phase of programming and predesign with the school design teams to be able to serve the program that was promised to the voters. Mr. DeBolt asked if the bulk of the funds on item 6 for the elevator Mr. McKay responded, no, that it was probably \$75,000. He explained that basically the building got larger than what was estimated at the pre-bond phase and because of that the cost per square foot to build increased.

Mr. DeBolt then asked about item 8 at NAMS, and what would be replacing that CTE classroom. Mr. Dilbone responded that the remodel of the current culinary room would add drop down power supplies so sewing machines could be used in that room also, however, the difference is that by remodeling the CTE space NAMS loses 4 classrooms and it is really about finding a place to put teachers that are using those classrooms at this point. Mr. Golden added that these places can be used differently than they have been traditionally so in a CTE classroom you might have sewing with computers. He also agreed with Mr. Aguinaga's comments about leaving the outdoor classroom at Timber Ridge on the list for a number of reasons, for example outdoor school and science classes doing experiments outside.

Mr. Costain asked if extra excise tax money could be used for bid-alternates 5 years from now. Mr. Allen responded yes, if for some reason it couldn't be done now, we would have the design and we would be able to do them at a later time. If in a worst case scenario, we were unable to accomplish them now, they could be accomplished at a later time when additional funding is identified.

Ms. Davis stated that she attended the meeting last week at West Albany High School and the additional funding came up and there was a point made that changed her perspective; the science classrooms could be better, but they are they are only 10 years old and the newest part of the building. In this scenario she stated that she felt that it might not be the best use of funds and to be careful about having the most expensive be the top priority right now.

August 22, 2017

Page 6

Mr. Allen responded that with regards to the recommendation or suggestion that this group is being asked to make to the Board, staff is not asking this group to make any recommendations relative to the disposition of the West funds because those decisions will need to be made later after there has been further analysis done.

BOND PROJECTS COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

Mr. Eastman asked the Committee if they felt there needed to be any further suggestions on shuffling in the priority list. He stated that the only suggestion he would make would be if possible, would be to move item 7 up a bit to show CTE a bit higher on the list. Mr. Aguinaga asked for confirmation that all the Priority 1 items should happen, the response was yes. Mr. Allen responded that if everything comes in, all of the Priority 1 and Priority 1 Bid Alternates could be done. Mr. Boehme stated that his suggestion would be that there be a number for West whether it is \$10 million or \$11 million and get rid of the Bid Alternate. Mr. Allen responded that it was believed that there could be some aspects of the project that could be done as Bid Alternates. He shared that they do not have the design but much like some of these other schools; classrooms could be added at the end of a hallway. Mr. Boehme stated that it would be better to deal with an exact number whatever it is and work with that. Mr. DeBold made a suggestion to just move it over to make it \$11 million. Mr. Allen responded that unfortunately the document can't be three-dimensional; because it was not being suggested that the unknown bid alternate at West Albany is a higher priority necessarily than the auxiliary gym at the new school. There are just a lot of unknowns because there are no cost estimates at this time. Mr. Eastman asked if the Committee was done discussion at this time. There were nods of agreement. He then invited Board Member Kim Butzner to the lectern.

Board Member Kim Butzner greeted the committee and stated she had a quick question on Priorities 3 and 5. She stated that it looks like both have to do with the need for additional locations for the 600 students anticipated at the new school and was curious as to why the gymnasium was put above the classrooms as far as priority and why would the South Albany project(s) be moved above the classrooms. Mr. Allen responded that the gym has to come before the classrooms and second, it is easier to build four classrooms later than a gymnasium. Ms. Butzner stated that the felt that as long as that information is included for the Board when they consider approving the projects. Mr. Allen stated that if it is the will of the Committee, item 5 could be put next to 3 if it would make more sense. Mr. Eastman asked if it would affect the way the projects were looked at if the word "Priority" and the numbers were taken away. He stated that if he understood correctly, that staff was saying that all the projects in the Priority 1 column would get done and asked if the Priority numbers to the left would have any affect over the amount of money that would be spent on those projects. Mr. Allen responded that if the projects are in the Priority 1 column and it is the will of this group and the will of the Board, other than for West, the architects will be told that these are now part of their scope and to make it so. From that perspective it doesn't matter whether it is item 2 or 8 because the architect working on item 2 and the architect working on item 8 are going to be told the exact same thing. He shared that yes, the Committee could get too involved in whether it is a 2 or 3 when at the end of the day it doesn't matter because yes means yes.

Mr. Golden added that at this point in time he agrees with Mr. Eastman that these will be get done. He stated that when the going would get tough would be if we experienced another catastrophe like 9/11 that would change the economy drastically. He stated that from his experience he expects that all projects on the list under the Priority 1 column should be done. He shared that it wasn't necessary about jockeying and positioning per se, but it does give a fallback if something drastic were to happen. Mr. Eastman added that was the reason for the contingency money, is to absorb any or some issues that might come along. Mr. Golden commented that those were his thoughts also. He shared that there was also \$8 million in bonding authority that could possibly be used in a worst case scenario but at the end of the day we want to do what we said we were going to do overall. Mr. Allen reminded the Committee that each one of the individual projects have a contingency built into them. Mr. Eastman then stated that now that the Committee has gotten down to this level, he believed that there are really no decisions to recommend. Mr. Boehme stated that he didn't think that the \$28 million was in a vacuum, but really talking about a total of \$179 million. r. Allen stated that in the grand scheme of things (talking about the Bid Alternates) it would be viewed within and woven into the scope of the overall \$179 million bucket. Mr. Eastman asked Mr. Pierson if he had anything further. Mr. Pierson's response was no. Mr. Eastman then invited comments from the audience. There were none.

August 22, 2017

Page 7

Mr. Eastman commented that the meetings that have been held on the different projects have been very interesting. He stated that he had been to the meetings at West and for the New Elementary School. He asked if anyone else on the Committee had attended any of the meetings. He stated that he would highly recommend that Committee Members attend if they have the time.

Mr. Boehme stated that of the projects, understanding that the new school will take about 2 years, he asked when the bids were expected to going out for the different projects. Mr. McKay stated that without looking at the schedule, the middle schools are the first ones and the architects have been told to be ready to bid in late December for the bids to be due in January. Then the new elementary school is on the fastest track, partly because it is easier than Oak Grove and it is estimated to be put out to bid in February with award in March with South being about that same time frame. He stated that Oak Grove is scheduled for April and May. He shared that Oak Grove is more complex and is pushing the architects as fast as he can. He stated that Oak Grove will be a CMGC project (Construction Manager/General Contractor) where the General Contractor becomes part of the project team and they work with the design team and his team because of the complexity for phasing. Mr. McKay stated that West is going to bid in two packages. There will be a site package that will bid in April/May which will allow to build the pad for the general contractor in the summer months, and then the building package is slated to go out to bid in September/October so the contractor would have a nice site that is rocked, utilities to the building to be able to start wet-weather building.

Mr. Costain asked if there was any movement by Benton County on giving final approval on the sale of the two acres. Mr. Allen responded that there were two issues with Benton County; one was our ability to use the land, and second was getting permission to make the changes to the school itself. Mr. McKay stated that we were still waiting to hear from Benton County. He introduced Steve Earl, part of the HMK team and project manager for Oak Grove. He stated that Mr. Earl had issued documents for a land use hearing which is set for October and is still working on the process. He mentioned that some adjustments had to be made for the request for exception by adding the additional 2 acres which added to the time. Mr. McKay verified that the consultants still see no reason why we wouldn't receive approval.

Mr. Costain asked if the tennis courts at West were going to be moved to another location when the site work begins. Mr. McKay responded that it was likely yes, but wasn't sure exactly what the plan was yet and that he would have to report back when he had that information. Mr. Eastman stated that he neglected to announce that Adrianna Villegas was now on the Committee and wasn't sure why she was not present. Mr. Allen stated that staff had not heard from her but would follow-up to be sure we had correct contact information for her.

DETERMINATION OF FUTURE MEETINGS

Mr. Eastman stated he would look at staff for suggestions for future meetings. He shared that he knew that the presentation to the Board was set for the 28th. He asked if the Committee Members needed to be at the work session or for the regular meeting. Mr. Allen responded that the Committee's recommendations to the Board would take place at the Regular Board Meeting starting at 7:00 and the work session pertains to the Middle School projects and the Committee is invited to attend. Mr. Eastman then addressed the Committee and said if they could be at the meeting at 5:00 it would be great and if not, 7:00 for the Board Meeting. Mr. Aguinaga stated that after listening to statements in the meeting about item 14, it still sticks out at him and felt that it needed to be moved one column to the left. Mr. Allen stated that the project doesn't need to be a bid-alternate because it is not something that needs to be dealt with right now, the reason that it is priority 2 is that we can get through the bidding cycle and at this time next year revisit them. Mr. McKay stated that it is a perfect project that could be done by a smaller local contractor. Mr. Aguinaga added that keeping work local is what is wanted. Mr. McKay stated that if that project was economized and able to be added by being able to use a local contractor to do it. Mr. Golden stated that he would attempt to figure out how to get it done because it fits in with several things we are working on in the CTE, VTE, Outdoor School, and sciences. Mr. Aguinaga stated that it fits in when we have talked about CTE to middle schools but we were not very direct as to what type of CTE. Mr. Allen pointed out that this was in addition to the original scope but is something to add to make it really work right.

August 22, 2017

Page 8

Mr. McKay shared that referring to Mr. Aguinaga's comment about local contractor involvement; he stated that there was an award given at South last week to Mid-Valley Wallboard Construction for outstanding project of the year. He found it interesting that one of the owners of the company was on Mr. Belveal's football team; the person giving the award, his father was the opening vice-principal at South Albany High School, Doug Killian, who was also a major here in Albany. It was such a hallmark of the district's goal to try to get local contractor involvement.

Mr. Eastman then asked for suggestions for meeting dates that might be appropriate for this Committee. Mr. Allen suggested that it might be a good idea to look at the first Tuesday of each month. Mr. Eastman clarified that after Monday night's meeting with the Board that it would be appropriate to go to once a month. Mr. Allen stated that if the group is willing to move beyond this priority list document, then yes, he believes that there would be no need to meet more than once a month. He suggested that it would be best to set it for a day that would work best for the Committee. Mr. Eastman stated that Wednesday's had worked well for the month and asked which Wednesday out of the month would work best for the group. He suggested the second Wednesday of the month and all agreed. Mr. McKay suggested that the first meeting be October 11. Mr. Eastman confirmed that there would be a Meeting next Monday, August 28; the High School Board Work Session on September 25 at 5:00; the Committee meeting on October 11 at 6:00; and then following Committee meetings the second Wednesday of each month at 6:00 p.m..

ADJOURN

Mr.	Eastman	adjourned	the mee	ting at 7:	:21 p.m.
Pat	Eastman,	Bond Ove	rsight C	ommitte	- e Chair

Recorded by Kathie Vosper